

LACASSE & ASSOCIATES, LLC

PROFESSIONAL PATENT SERVICES

1725 Duke Street, Suite 650
Alexandria, Virginia 22314
Phone (703) 838-7683/Facsimile (703) 838-7684
E-Mail: patserv@lacasse-patents.com

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER APR 1 8 2005

CONFIDENTIAL FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET

DATE SENT: <u>April 18, 2005</u>					
DELIVER TO	D:				
Name:	Attn: Office of Petitions				
Company:	USPTO				
Phone No:	571-272-3231				
Fax No:	703-872-9306				
FROM:	Randy W. Lacasse				
RE:	USSN 09/898,379				

THERE WILL BE A TOTAL OF 23 PAGE(S) INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET.
OUR FACSIMILE MACHINE COMMUNICATES WITH ALL GROUP III, II AND FM6
MACHINES.

NOTICE: The documents transmitted by this facsimile are intended for the use of the individual or the entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of the message is not the intended recipient, or the employee, or agent responsible for delivering this document to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original facsimile to us at the above address via the Postal Service.

NOTES:

- TRANSMITTAL FORM
- RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(a)
- EMPLOYEE STATEMENTS (2 DOCUMENTS)
- AMENDMENT

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995	na persons	U.S. F are required to respond to a col	7-40 aad T-		Affica: 115	PTO/SB/21 (09-04) rough 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 5. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE solays a valid OMB control number.			
Onder the Parenton Audition and Of Take		Application Number	09/898,379						
TRANSMITTAL		Filing Date	July 5, 200						
FORM		First Named Inventor	Kovar et al.	el al.					
	Art Unit	2633	2633						
	<i>5</i> 11>	Examiner Name	Dzung D. T	Dzung D. Tran					
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)		Attorney Docket Number	10-342 US	10-342 US					
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 22									
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC									
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/declaration(9) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocatic Change of Correspondence Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C	Address	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below): Statements (2 documents)					
BINCE 37 OF K 1.02 OF 1.00									
SIGN	TURE (OF APPLICANT, ATTO	ORNEY, C	OR AGI	ENT				
Firm Name Lacasse & Associates, L	LC				_				
Signature									
Printed name Rendy W. Lacasse									
Date 04/18/2005	04/18/2005 Reg. No. 34,368								
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on									
the date shown below: Signature AntiQuels Tulk									
Typed or printed name Larkieko Welch					Date	04/18/2005			

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in complating the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Kovar et al.

Serial No.: 09/898,379

2633 Group Art Unit:

Filed:

7/5/2001

Examiner:

DZUNG D. TRAN

Title:

Optical Modulator and Method for Polarization Bit Interleaving

STATEMENT

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I have been an employee at Lacasse & Associates, LLC since July 2003. During that time I worked with Elizabeth Hein, the Assistant Manager of Administrative Services. To my knowledge, one of the duties of Ms. Hein was to retrieve and distribute all incoming USPTO correspondence. Upon receipt of USPTO correspondence, Ms. Hein would use our case tracking system, CTS 5.0, to look up our internal case numbers by using the U.S. application number. Then, she would retrieve the file wrapper from our file room and process the correspondence according to the procedures detailed below. During her employment with Lacasse & Associates, LLC, Ms. Hein was in charge of monitoring the applications of several clients.

Our procedure for handling incoming USPTO correspondence is accurate as stated in the Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(a) and in the paragraph below.

> After opening all incoming mail from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the proper procedure for docketing incoming USPTO correspondence, specifically office actions, is as follows: (1) Log into CTS (our case management software); (2) while in CTS, search for our docket number by typing in the U.S. application number in the search form; (3) write our docket number on the envelope or a post-it note and attach it to the corresponding USPTO correspondence; (4) retrieve the file wrapper of the corresponding file from our record room; (5) write

Page 1 of 3

10-342 09 09/698,379

the mailing date of the office action on the front cover of the file wrapper; (6) return to CTS and access the office action screen of the corresponding case; (7) enter the mailing date from the front page of the office action into the "mailing date" field of the office action screen; (8) upon entering the mailing date at the office action screen, the software will prompt the user to enter the mailing date again in order to confirm the accuracy of the entry; (9) after entering the mailing date to confirm accuracy, the software generates two dates -- (a) the "Date Due", which is three months from the mailing date of the office action (two months if a final office action); and (b) the "Last Date to File", which is six months from the mailing date of the office action; (10) the user will be prompted to accept the dates; (11) after accepting the dates, the user then generates a letter to the client, notifying him of an office action; (12) the user then generates an amendment form for the patent agent to complete at a later date; (13) the employee makes two copies of the office action -- the original office action is sent to the client, one copy of the office action is hole-punched and placed in the incoming USPTO correspondence section of the file wrapper, and the other copy of the office action is placed in a working folder for the patent agent; (14) the employee then creates several labels for the patent agent's working folder indicating the following -- (a) our docket number for the case, (b) the two-month due date for the response to the office action, and (c) the threemonth due date for the response to the office action; (15) the labels are placed on the front of the working folder and the copy of the office action is stamped with "COPY" on the front and placed in the working folder; (16) the employee gives the file wrapper to another employee who enters the following information into the shared "Patent Dept. Office Actions" task list in Microsoft Outlook -- the docket number, patent application title, client contact information, type of response needed, assigned patent agent initials, an indication of a "final" office action (if the office action is final), the due date, and the month of response for that due date; (17) the working folder and file wrapper are given to the appropriate patent secretary; (18) the patent secretary enters the due date on their personal desk or wall calendar; (19) the patent secretary enters the due date on the desk or wall calendar of the Assistant Manager of Administrative Services; and (20) finally, the working folder and file wrapper are given to the appropriate patent agent who will work on the response to the office action.

At the time the docketing error occurred (approximately June 7, 2004), there were no physical signs such as overdue reminders, an abundance of correspondence in her inbox or desk,

or unusual work habits that may have indicated that there was a problem with her performing her duties.

Respectfully submitted,

Brandi L. Franklin Patent Secretary

1725 Duke Street Suite 650 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 838-7683 April 18, 2005

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Kovar et al.

Serial No.: 09/898,379

Group Art Unit:

2633

Filed:

7/5/2001

Examiner:

DZUNG D. TRAN

Title:

Optical Modulator and Method for Polarization Bit Interleaving

STATEMENT

Mail Stop Petitions Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

I have been an employee at Lacasse & Associates, LLC since September 27, 1999. I first began working as a Patent Secretary, and later I was promoted to the Manager of Administrative Services. During my two years that I performed the duties of Manager of Administrative Services, I interviewed and hired Ms. Elizabeth Hein as a Patent Secretary, and she began her employment with our firm on April 15, 2002. I personally trained her on the patent prosecution process and the importance of docketing and inputting correct dates into our case tracking software, CTS Version 5, and I personally supervised and reviewed her work product for over a year and a half as well as the work products of other administrative staff. As Manager of Administrative Services, one of my duties was to enter data from USPTO correspondence into the CTS case tracking software and review the reminders for each administrative staff person as well as all of the patent agents every two weeks. The patent secretaries were also required to write all due dates on my wall calendar, as a way to keep me informed of all USPTO responses that were due to be completed. If there was anything that was nearly due, I would speak to the administrative staff person to inquire about the item due. For the weekly Patent Department meetings, I would provide each administrative staff person and patent agent with a copy of the Page 1 of 4

PAGE 10/23 * RCVD AT 4/18/2005 3:57:28 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/7 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:7038387684 * DURATION (mm-ss):06-46

monthly docket from CTS as well as a copy of the Patent Department Office Action task list that we maintained as a public folder in Outlook. We would compare the two lists in our meetings to make sure that all necessary responses were known.

After my two years as the Manager of Administrative Services, I was promoted to the position of Manager of Patent Services. Upon my promotion, I was asked by the firm's Director, Randy W. Lacasse, about a replacement for the Manager of Patent Services position. I recommended that Mr. Lacasse give Ms. Hein the opportunity to manage the Administrative Services Department due to her strong and unblemished work performance, knowledge of the patent process, as well as her enthusiasm and positive attitude. Ms. Hein was promoted to the position of Assistant Manager of Administrative Services in October 2003. During her time as Assistant Manager of Administrative Services, Ms. Hein was responsible for the same docketing duties that I was responsible for previously. I trained Ms. Hein on the responsibilities of the position and continued to periodically review her progress in the position as required by the Director. Also, since we began fully utilizing the CTS software in early 2000, I have been the Database Administrator for the case tracking software. Furthermore, after reviewing the CTS case history for this application, I discovered that there was never any entry of the office action mailed on June 7, 2004. The last action completed in the case, before we received the Notice of Abandonment for not responding to the office action mailed on June 7, 2004, was the update of the patent application publication information on May 30, 2002 (see example A, attached). This suggests that the office action was either never received or it was never entered into the CTS software, thus creating the lack of reminders to respond to the office action.

During my employment as a Patent Secretary and later as Manager of Administrative Services, I created, implemented, and trained Ms. Hein on the following procedure for handling

incoming USPTO correspondence as stated in the Renewed Petition Under 37 CFR 1.137(a) and in the paragraph below.

After opening all incoming mail from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the proper procedure for docketing incoming USPTO correspondence, specifically office actions, is as follows: (1) Log into CTS (our case management software); (2) while in CTS, search for our docket number by typing in the U.S. application number in the search form; (3) write our docket number on the envelope or a post-it note and attach it to the corresponding USPTO correspondence; (4) retrieve the file wrapper of the corresponding file from our record room; (5) write the mailing date of the office action on the front cover of the file wrapper; (6) return to CTS and access the office action screen of the corresponding case; (7) enter the mailing date from the front page of the office action into the "mailing date" field of the office action screen; (8) upon entering the mailing date at the office action screen, the software will prompt the user to enter the mailing date again in order to confirm the accuracy of the entry; (9) after entering the mailing date to confirm accuracy, the software generates two dates -- (a) the "Date Due", which is three months from the mailing date of the office action (two months if a final office action); and (b) the "Last Date to File", which is six months from the mailing date of the office action; (10) the user will be prompted to accept the dates; (11) after accepting the dates, the user then generates a letter to the client, notifying him of an office action; (12) the user then generates an amendment form for the patent agent to complete at a later date; (13) the employee makes two copies of the office action -- the original office action is sent to the client, one copy of the office action is hole-punched and placed in the incoming USPTO correspondence section of the file wrapper, and the other copy of the office action is placed in a working folder for the patent agent; (14) the employee then creates several labels for the patent agent's working folder indicating the following -- (a) our docket number for the case, (b) the two-month due date for the response to the office action, and (c) the threemonth due date for the response to the office action; (15) the labels are placed on the front of the working folder and the copy of the office action is stamped with "COPY" on the front and placed in the working folder; (16) the employee gives the file wrapper to another employee who enters the following information into the shared "Patent Dept. Office Actions" task list in Microsoft Outlook -- the docket number, patent application title, client contact information, type of response needed, assigned patent agent initials, an indication of a "final" office action (if the office action is final), the due date, and the month of response for that due date; (17) the working folder and file wrapper are given to the

Page 3 of 4

appropriate patent secretary; (18) the patent secretary enters the due date on their personal desk or wall calendar; (19) the patent secretary enters the due date on the desk or wall calendar of the Assistant Manager of Administrative Services; and (20) finally, the working folder and file wrapper are given to the appropriate patent agent who will work on the response to the office action.

It is my opinion that Ms. Hein was adequately trained to perform the above-described duties. She performed these duties on a regular basis for almost three years without fail, and she was an exemplary, enthusiastic, and attentive employee. Therefore, there was no reason for me or anyone else to doubt her competence in following the above-referenced procedures for docketing incoming USPTO correspondence. Furthermore, at the time the docketing error occurred (approximately June 7, 2004), there were no physical signs such as overdue reminders, an abundance of correspondence in her inbox or desk, or unusual work habits that may have indicated that there was a problem with her performing her duties.

Respectfully submitted,

ARieko Welch

Manager of Patent Services

1725 Duke Street Suite 650 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 (703) 838-7683 April 18, 2005

Status: Pending

Client Case #: 10-342 US

Filing Date: 7/5/2001

Case Tracking System Case History Report

Date: April 18, 2005

U.S. Patent Case

Case Number: 3012935

Priority Case Number: 1002719

Client: JDS Uniphase Inc.

Application Serial Number: 09/898,379 3611

Application Type: Utility

Title: Optical Modulator and Method for Polarization Bit Interleaving

Sr. Attorney Id: RWL

Administrator ld: BLF Primary Attorney Id: (none)

Item File New Application Receive Filing Receipt Assignment Recording **Application Publication** Waiting for next PTO communication. - USA WAITING FOR NOTICE OF ABANDONMENT

Completed Due 7/5/2001 8/28/2001 10/5/2001 9/20/2001 12/20/2001 5/30/2002 5/30/2002 3/15/2004 2/2/2005 2/11/2005